

S.B. and Hiroshima:

USG didn't act as if S.B. had to be  
justified — i.e. that it was evil  
first... "it ought help"

(as (A-Bomb) if it was clearly not  
necessary (to end war) and ought not  
(+ did not) Abandon war

as if it didn't cause any harm (to America)  
(to people who mattered — at all) permission  
to innocents — who didn't deserve punishment, and

like ZDT! (or a <sup>B-2</sup> shame attack — "war")

no, a chance way of doing something,  
maybe

on some other special power up...

(or torture of a known guilty +  
refused head guy...

(not an "abuse" of torture)

justified for having

- 1) prevent the from harming — no other way <sup>innocents, as</sup>
- 2) self-defense (justified)
- 3) punishment/deterrence ("justified")

Central question: Why Hawaiian?

Was it justified? necessary?

But not (Wood Wilson): What are  
effects of entering it "misadventures of fortune"?



Hiroshima      <sup>justified</sup>      Offers      C-4

USG could have gotten surrender (probably  
of offer to Emperor (+ warning of possibly  
SU!) without Bomb, and knew it —  
but chose to prolong war  
to use Bomb

by force making either offer of SU

≈ Secret offer of secret Treaty, to K in C-4  
(most effective)  
and Madmen to make long treaty

whereas (arguing) K could have relined on Type II  
and won!

(He had both Type I and Type II on island  
— so unlikely as we had our Berlin (experience)  
or now — as if there had access to news  
and our "armies" troops to Berlin."  
Karl Marx and delegation  
(or, garrison in Berlin!)

2-17-13

X

WW 71

Failure of SU Type II to prevent US blockade  
(or US desire to invade!)

(What if Oswald shot in Chicago during crime?!

or JFK really unexpected

9 drunk (K in 1973)

only (?) compensation between US & SU?